

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/684,171 10/10/2003		Richard J. Ericson	OT-4416A	2595	•	
26584	7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER			
0110 222 11	ATOR COMPANY JAL PROPERTY DEPA	DTMENT	LANGDON, EVAN H			
10 FARM SPF		KTWENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FARMINGTO	N, CT 06032		3654			

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/684,171	ERICSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Evan H. Langdon	3654		

	Evan H. Langdon		3054							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CON	IDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as f ving replies: (1) an tice of Appeal (with	filing a Notice of amendment, aff appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date										
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN										
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 C	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since						
AMENDMENTS										
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or			ecause						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appea			the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		nber of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	:·_			. в п						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).										
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or app	ered, or b) 📋 wi pended.	ii be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:										
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-26</u> .										
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:										
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the d sufficient reason	date of filing a N s why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> reject	ions under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio										
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ut dans NOT plans	the application i	n condition for allows	nce hecause:						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place	the application i	ii condition for allowa	nce because.						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PT	O-1449) Paper I	No(s)							
	20.	My	JOHN Q. NO PRIMARY EX							
		- /								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation re. "a clamp clamping the tension member" and the newly added claim 27, are examples of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search .